

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS Aa2 TO MANSFIELD'S (CT) \$2.8 MILLION GO BONDS

Global Credit Research - 04 Mar 2011

Aa2 AFFECTS \$1.4 MILLION OF OUTSTANDING G.O. DEBT

Municipality

Moody's Rating

ISSUE RATING
General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 Aa2

Sale Amount \$2,840,000 Expected Sale Date 03/15/11

Rating Description General Obligation Bonds

Opinion

NEW YORK, Mar 4, 2011 -- Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to the Town of Mansfield's (CT) \$2.8 million General Obligation Bonds, Issue of 2011. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aa2 rating on \$1.5 million of outstanding general obligation debt. The bonds are secured by a general obligation unlimited tax pledge.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa2 rating incorporates the town's recently-improved financial position supported by reserves held inside and outside of the General Fund and management's prudent fiscal practices. The rating also factors the town's favorable debt levels and moderately sized equalized net grand list (ENGL) that benefits from a large university presence.

Proceeds from this issue will be used to fund various capital projects for both the town and the Mansfield Board of Education.

STRENGTHS

- -Demonstrated commitment to the continued improvement of the town's financial position as evidenced by codified financial practices and history favorable operating results
- -Stable local economy, anchored by the University of Connecticut, and moderately sized tax base with continued development
- -Low debt burden

CHALLENGES

-Continued financial improvement amidst volatility in state aid revenues and ongoing spending pressures

CREDIT OPINION

CONTINUED IMPROVEMENT OF SOUND FINANCIAL POSITION SUPPORTED BY SATISFACTORY AVAILABLE RESERVES

Moody's anticipates Mansfield's sound financial position to continue to improve over the near term supported by careful fiscal management aimed at management's recent initiative to increase General Fund reserves from 5% of General Fund revenues to 10% over the next several years. The town segments operations and capital into several funds with current expenditures funded through the General Fund, capital spending through a Capital Non-Recurring (CNR) Fund and debt service through a Debt Service Fund. This management strategy is favorable in that it enables the town to better match current and long-term expenditures with similarly timed revenue sources, thus ensuring stable General Fund budgetary growth. Fiscal 2010 experienced the town's sixth consecutive operating surplus. Unreserved General Fund reserves increased to \$1.9 million or 4.3% of General Fund revenues from a low of \$995,000 (3.2% of General Fund reserves) at the end of fiscal year 2003. Taking into account other available funds outside the General Fund which include its capital projects funds and other internal service funds, fiscal 2010 available reserves equaled \$3.2 million or 7.3% of General Fund revenues.

Fiscal 2011 experienced a 0.5% increase in spending (budget-to-budget) driven primarily by increased pension costs and contributions to its CNR Fund. As a part of the budget plan, the town was able to ameliorate some of its spending pressures without drastic reductions in services by implementing a one-year wage freeze and gaining operational efficiencies. Citing year-to-date results, the town expects its seventh year of favorable operating results due to diligent budget monitoring and adjustments to spending when necessary. The town projects total ending available reserves to be about \$4.3 million or about 9.8% of General Fund revenues. Looking forward to fiscal 2012, management anticipates continued progress towards its internal General Fund balance target of 10% of revenues with increased contributions to its CNR Fund to offset decreasing state grants.

Somewhat atypical of Connecticut localities, the town is heavily dependent on intergovernmental revenues which represent 43% of fiscal 2010 General Fund revenues versus 55% for property taxes. These revenues are comprised of Education Cost Sharing (ECS) grants, the Mashantucket Pequot, and Mohegan Fund Grant and the payment-in-lieu-of-taxes (PILOTs) associated with University of Connecticut (rated Aa2, stable outlook). Reflective of state-level budgetary pressures, the town's share has decreased for three consecutive years from a high of \$19.3 million in fiscal 2009 to a projected \$17.6 million in fiscal 2012. While diligent monitoring and conservative budgeting of these volatile revenues have enabled the town to weather previous cuts, management's ability to continue to offset declines will be integral to management's

ability to maintain financial flexibility.

MODERATELY SIZED TAX BASE BENEFITS FROM THE PRESENCE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT

Anchored by the University of Connecticut, the local economy is stable and continues to benefit from its large university presence as a source of employment (5,017 employees in 2011). However, income levels which are at 63% and 84% of state and national medians respectively, remains distorted by the town's large student and inmate population which represent about 46% of the town's estimated 25,268 residents. More reflective of the permanent town residents, median family income is above-average at 106% of the state and 139% of the nation. The town currently receives approximately \$7.2 million in payment-in-lieu-of-taxes from the university as a part of its state grants.

Net taxable grand list, which does not incorporate university-owned property, increased by 4.6% in fiscal 2011 reflecting the town's recent revaluation. Five-year average annual growth grew a modest 2.2%. Equalized net grand list (ENGL) growth was a healthier 4.1% in the past five years. Moody's believes assessed values will remain stable over the near term with future grand list growth driven by completion of a new \$220 million mixed-use development in the town's downtown area. Most of the building permits have been approved and construction is expected to begin in spring 2011, the project is expected to be completed in the next seven to eight years and is expected to generate additional \$1.1 million in annual property tax revenue.

FAVORABLE DEBT POSITION

Mansfield's debt burden has historically been low as the town continues to favor the use of internal resources to fund capital projects. After this issue, Mansfield's debt burden will equal a low 0.3% of ENGL. The overall debt burden, which incorporates debt related to Regional School District Number 19 (rated Aa3) increases slightly to 0.5% of ENGL. Future debt needs include an approximately \$24 million bond issue for consolidation of three elementary schools what will be up for voter referendum in May of this year. If passed, the additional debt would substantially increase the town's debt burden but is expected to remain average to slightly above average. Other future capital and borrowing needs for the town remain minimal and consists mostly of water and wastewater system improvements. The town is not party to any derivative agreements and all outstanding debt is in a fixed-rate mode.

WHAT WOULD MAKE THE RATING GO - UP

- -Continued improvement to the town's financial reserves consistent with management's internal targets
- -Sizable tax base growth resulting from ongoing developments

WHAT WOULD MAKE THE RATING GO - DOWN

-inability to address mounting downward state aid pressures with corresponding increases in recurring revenues and/or reductions in spending

KEY STATISTICS

2009 population: 25,268

2009 Equalized Net Grand List (ENGL): \$1.46 billion

Full valuation per capita: \$59,480

1999 Median Family Income: \$18,094 (62.9% of state, 83.8% of nation) 1999 Per Capita Income: \$69,661 (106.3% of state, 139.2% of nation)

FY 2010 General Fund balance: \$1.9 million (3.9% of General Fund revenues)

FY 2010 Available Reserves: \$3.18 million (7% of General Fund revenues)

Overall debt burden: 0.5% of ENGL, \$310 per capita

Payout of principal (10 years): 74%

The principal methodology used in this rating was General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments published in October 2009.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

Information sources used to prepare the credit rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings and public information .

Moody's Investors Service considers the quality of information available on the credit satisfactory for the purposes of assigning a credit rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on Moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some Credit Ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's Investors Service's Credit Ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's Investors Service provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see the Credit Policy page on Moodys.com for the methodologies used in determining ratings, further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Analysts

Dora Lee Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Conor McEachern Backup Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson Senior Credit Officer Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 USA



© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW. AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED. REPACKAGED, FÜRTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.